UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Mauricio Rubio-Anaya	Case Number: <u>08-6361M</u>	
present and wa	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § as represented by counsel. I conclude e defendant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on October 30, 2008. Defendant was by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
		FINDINGS OF FACT	
find by a prep	onderance of the evidence that:		
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the cha	arged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deporte or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant co	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to a	ppear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imprisonment.	
The Co at the time of th	ne hearing in this matter, except as no	erial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour oted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1. 2.		ndant will flee. itions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. IONS REGARDING DETENTION	
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United S	fendant is committed to the custody of acility separate, to the extent practicable efendant shall be afforded a reasonable tates or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purpo	If the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in e, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending e opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour ne Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
IT IS O deliver a copy o Court.	RDERED that should an appeal of thi	s detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to n to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
IT IS F Services suffic	URTHER ORDERED that if a release in the inverse security in advance of the hearing befor potential third party custodian.	to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria re the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE	ED this 31 st day of October, 2	008.	

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge